



New Philadelphia Municipal Court

2014 Annual Report

Prepared and Submitted by
Judge Nanette DeGarmo VonAllman

Memorial Municipal Building
166 East High Avenue
New Philadelphia, Ohio 44663

New Philadelphia Municipal Court

March 31, 2015

Honorable David Johnson, Mayor of New Philadelphia
New Philadelphia City Council Members
Tuscarawas County Commissioners Chris Abbuhl, Belle Everett, and Kerry Metzger

Dear Madams and Sirs:

It has been my privilege and honor to have served my third year as Judge of the New Philadelphia Municipal Court. Through the hard work and dedication of our entire staff we have accomplished many goals and continue to look forward to the challenges and responsibilities ahead.

Some of the notable highlights from the past year include:

- Court receipts totaled **\$2,230,240.50 compared to \$2,117,252.96 in 2013.**
- In 2014, the Court remitted **\$1,367,948.28** to the city and county: **\$1,182,731.04** to the City of New Philadelphia and **\$185,217.24** to Tuscarawas County.
- In 2014, the court handled a total of **13,940** cases – a slight decrease from the 14,396 cases handled in 2013.
- The Court continues to hear cases in three courtrooms which ensures that cases are being heard in a just, timely and efficient manner.
- In September, 2011, the Court's docket records became available **online at npmunicipalcourt.org**. Visitors to the website can search open and closed case information by party name. The website has had **over 287,000 visitors** since its inception. There were **356,812** record searches.
- In November of 2014, working with an Advisory Committee composed of law enforcement, addiction services providers, probation officers, prosecutors, defense attorneys, and other community stakeholders, the Court applied for Specialized Docket certification through the Supreme Court of Ohio. When approved, the **New Philadelphia Municipal Recovery Court** will focus on defendants who have been charged with a misdemeanor offense directly or indirectly related to, or resulting from, opioid dependence.

The program is intended to hold individuals accountable to their families and society, restore families, enhance public safety by reducing criminal and drug related activity, reduce costs to the taxpayers, and provide an opportunity for drug dependent individuals to become sober, positive, and contributing members of our community

- **Contributions in Lieu of Community Service:** Pursuant to a change in Ohio law in 2011, a defendant that is sentenced to a term of community service may make a reasonable contribution, as determined by the Court, to the General Fund of the County, Municipality, or other local entity that provides funding to the Court. If more than one entity provides funding, the contribution is disbursed in proportionate percentages to the amount of funding provided.

We call this program **CILOCS**. In 2014, the City of New Philadelphia provided 83.7% of the Court's funding and Tuscarawas County provided 16.3%.

In 2014, a total of **\$120,788.97** in CILOCS funds were collected. The City received \$101,395.05 and the County received \$19,393.92 into their respective General Funds.

- In August, 2012, the Court established a **Payment Plan Program**, by local rule, to promote offender payment compliance. Offenders who owe the court money can now enter into a formal payment plan. Compliance is reviewed at regular court hearings.
- By adopting a local rule in 2013, the Court has modified how payments made by defendants are applied. Payments are now applied first to court costs, then to reimbursements, then to restitution, and then to fines. This has resulted in an increase in local costs going to the City.
- The Clerk of Court has entered into a contract with **Capital Recovery Systems** to refer, at the Court's discretion, certain cases for collection. This service is at no cost to the Court and resulted in the collection of **\$120,908.37** in 2014. We are pleased with the arrangement and expect collections to continue to improve.
- **Choices Program:** The Court has partnered with the ADAMHS Board of Tuscarawas and Carroll Counties, Community Mental Healthcare, Tuscarawas County Southern District Court, and the Carroll County Municipal Court to develop a collaborative treatment program targeting repeat alcohol or drug addicted offenders.

The Program is funded through a Criminal Justice and Behavioral Linkages grant through the Ohio Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services. The Program, which began in December of 2013, involves a team approach involving our probation officers, a community navigator, and a mental health clinician to work with individuals to become clean, sober and law abiding citizens.

In 2014, **31** defendants participated in the Choices Program. **19** remain active and compliant.

- The Court continued to serve as a **collaborative work assessment site through the Adult Services Programs of the Tuscarawas County Board of Developmental Disabilities** to provide community based assessment of vocational skills for persons with disabilities. The workers assisted the court by sorting documents for shredding under our records retention plan. We enjoyed having the workers in our building and hope to be able to continue this program.
- **Building improvements** in 2014 included new flooring on the first floor lobby area and the stairs. We thank the City of New Philadelphia for collaborating with us in getting this long over-due project completed with minimal disruption.
- **Security:** The Court continues to strive to comply with Court Security Standards promulgated by The Supreme Court of Ohio in order to better provide for the safety of the public and court personnel. In 2013, utilizing court funds, the Court was able to install a much needed security system which allows our security officers to monitor the public areas of the building and creates secured areas that can only be accessed by court personnel. We were able to add two additional cameras in 2014.
- The Court has implemented a **Pre-Trial Supervision Program**. Defendants are closely supervised by probation staff while they await trial. Courts utilize pre-trial supervision to protect the public while reducing incarceration costs in appropriate cases. In 2014, **seventeen** defendants were placed in pre-trial supervision.
- The Court continues the **Criminal Diversion Program (CDP)** for non-violent, first time offenders. In 2014, **86 first time offenders** entered the program, **56** successfully completed the program, and **12** remain enrolled. **14** people were terminated from the program, and **4** have pending warrants.
- **Non-Probation Community Service:** Some offenders are not required to serve a term of probation, but are given community service as part of their sentence. Leslie Miller, Chief Probation Officer, also serves as the compliance officer for these offenders. In 2014, **596** defendants were ordered to complete **3,816 hours** of community service. In addition, defendants wishing to contribute in lieu of performing community service paid **\$41,065.00** in CILOCS.
- The **Probation Department** added **560** defendants to be monitored on Community Control Sanctions in 2014. **697** persons were supervised during the year by three full time Probation Officers.
- **16** people were placed on **electronically monitored house arrest** in lieu of jail in 2014, for 946 days served. **At an average cost of \$70.00 per day, the cost to the**

county would have been approximately \$66,220.00 if those defendants had served jail.

- **SCRAM** (Secure Continuous Remote Alcohol Monitoring) and **TAD** (Transdermal Alcohol Detection) technology is being utilized by the Court in appropriate cases to address alcohol abuse. The use of these alcohol monitoring anklets can be ordered in addition to jail time or house arrest. **In 2014, 79 defendants were ordered to wear SCRAM or TAD anklets for a total of 4,886 days.**
- **34** defendants were assigned to **165** days on the **Inmate Work Program** in lieu of jail. This program results in lower inmate housing costs at the jail and the collection of a significant amount of trash and recyclables from our highways. **At an average cost of \$70.00 per day, the cost to the county would have been approximately \$11,550.00 if those defendants had served jail.**
- The court continues to access to the **Ohio Courts Network (OCN)**, which is a statewide information exchange system developed by the Supreme Court of Ohio. It enables us to obtain current and accurate offender information from a centralized database. The Court uses information from OCN, the Ohio Bureau of Motor Vehicles, the Bureau of Criminal Identification & Investigation's Computerized Criminal History, and the Department of Rehabilitations & Corrections primarily when making determinations regarding bond, protection orders and sentencing.
- Municipal Court employees continued "**Casual Fridays for Charity.**" Staff who desire to dress casually on Fridays contribute \$2.00 each. Each month, a different charity is chosen. **In 2014, employees raised over \$550.00** which was distributed among various charities including: The Ethan Grant Leukemia Benefit, Domestic Violence Raffle Basket, Dogs 4 Warriors, United Way of Tuscarawas County, Rainbow Connection, and Friends of the Homeless.

In closing, I wish to acknowledge the dedication and hard work of my entire staff. I would also like to thank you all for your cooperation and support. I look forward to working together in the months and years ahead to make our community a better place for everyone.

Sincerely yours,

Judge Nanette DeGarmo VonAllman

New Philadelphia Municipal Court Staff (2014)

Nanette DeGarmo VonAllman	Presiding and Administrative Judge
Garry O. Hurlless Christine M. Weimer	Magistrates
Judith Dzigiel Gloria Metzger Amy Septer	Court Administrator Assignment Commissioner Administrative Assistant
Julie Stamets Leann Stephens Michele Green Carole Cenci Shirley Farver Lori Griffith Becky Gross Katie Saba Robin Staley Jo Dee Vesco Julie Yutzy	Clerk of Court Chief Deputy Traffic/Criminal Bookkeeper Deputy Clerk Deputy Clerk Deputy Clerk Deputy Clerk Deputy Clerk Deputy Clerk Deputy Clerk Deputy Clerk
Leslie Miller Merinda Craig Wendy Jones Carrie Howell	Chief Probation Officer Probation Officer Probation Officer Probation Assistant
Matthew Henry Rick McCoy Joe Reiger Mary Chenevey	Chief Bailiff/Security Officer Bailiff/Security Officer Bailiff/Security Officer Security Officer

The New Philadelphia Municipal Court

Description of the Court

The New Philadelphia Municipal Court is the largest court in the Tuscarawas County, taking in **11,612 new cases, transferred in cases, or reactivated cases in 2014**. It should be noted that these figures **do not include the number of cases that are reopened each year** due to motions to revoke community control sanctions, motions for contempt of court due to failure to comply with court orders, Payment Plan Program cases, and the collection of civil judgments through garnishments, attachments, and debtor's exams.

The Ohio Supreme Court does not allow courts to count certain filings in tabulating the new case totals. Since the Court's computer reporting system is set up to satisfy the reporting requirements of the Supreme Court, a large amount of work that is handled by the judge and her staff is not included in these calculations. For example, the Court spends a great deal of courtroom time per week hearing motions requesting the revocation of a defendant's community control sanctions on a case in which he or she has already been sentenced. These cases cannot be counted in the new cases filed or the cases resolved or terminated. This situation, however, is not unique to municipal courts as the state courts in Ohio are not permitted to count these types of cases as new cases filed; nor are they counted as a reactivated case.

Territorial Jurisdiction

The New Philadelphia Municipal Court has territorial jurisdiction within the municipal corporations of New Philadelphia and Dover, and within Wayne, Franklin, Lawrence, Sandy, Sugarcreek, Dover, Fairfield, Warren, Auburn, York, Goshen, Bucks, and Jefferson Townships in Tuscarawas County.

Subject Matter Jurisdiction

Criminal Division:

The Court has jurisdiction over a violation of any ordinance of any municipal corporation within its territory, and of any misdemeanor committed within the limits of its territory. The Municipal Court also has jurisdiction to hear felony cases committed within its territory. In all felony cases, the Court may conduct preliminary hearings and other necessary hearings in order to determine if there is probable cause to hold or recognize the defendant to appear before the Court of Common Pleas.

Civil Division:

The Court has jurisdiction in civil matters only if the amount claimed by any party, or the appraised value of the personal property sought to be recovered, does not exceed fifteen thousand dollars (\$15,000.00). In addition to the more common civil actions, the Court also hears numerous appeals of various orders of the Ohio Bureau of Motor Vehicles. The Civil Division also hears eviction actions and other landlord/tenant disputes. Lastly, contained within the Civil Branch of the Court is the Small Claims Division with a monetary jurisdiction of up to three thousand dollars (\$3,000.00).

New Case Filings 2014

New Cases Filed, Transferred In, or Reactivated in 2014

Type of Case	2014
Criminal	
Felonies	68
Misdemeanors	1,720
Traffic	
O.V.I	394
Other Traffic	8,123
Civil	
General Civil	1,059
Small Claims	248
TOTAL	11,475

O.V.I. Cases

Many people associate this municipal court with O.V.I., or Operating a Vehicle Under the Influence cases. This Court does, in fact, hear a considerably large number of these types of cases. However, it is significant to note that although the Judge devotes a great deal of time to hearing O.V.I. cases, the O.V.I. caseload for 2014 accounted for just over 3.4% of the total new case filings of **394** cases. This is a decrease of just over 8% from the cases filed in 2013, which were 430.

Jury Trials and Bench Trials

On January 1, 2010, the Court combined the traffic and criminal arraignment sessions. Eliminating one weekly arraignment session streamlined court operations, and created more efficient use of court personnel, hours of operation, and timely disposition of cases. In 2014, the Court held 5 jury trials. There were **1,626** trials to the court in 2014. This number does not include the additional 186 Small Claims trials.

Domestic Violence Cases

There were a total of **105** domestic violence cases filed in the Court in 2014. Of these, 90 were misdemeanor domestic violence cases and 15 were felony charges. 32 victims requested Temporary Restraining Orders and 36 Temporary Restraining Orders were issued. The number of cases represents a nearly 14% decrease from the 122 domestic violence cases filed in 2013.

Dispute Resolution in Criminal Tax Cases/Bad Check Cases

In October of 2003, the Court introduced, by local rule, the Dispute Settlement Program, also known as Mediation. As part of the Dispute Settlement Program, the Tax Resolution Service is designed to promote successful resolution of criminal charges for failing to file and/or pay local income taxes thereby providing a diversion from the criminal process. In 2014, **130** cases were referred to the program resulting in successful resolution in many of these cases. The Check Resolution Service is designed to resolve bad check cases. In 2014, **18** bad check cases were referred to the service resulting in restitution and diversion from the criminal process.

Civil Cases

In 2014, there were **1,054** regular civil new case filings, and **246** new small claims filings.

Court Dockets

For reporting purposes, the Ohio Supreme Court divides a court’s docket into two categories. Courts must report their case filings and work completed on either the Administrative Judge’s Report or the Individual Judge’s Report. This division works well in multi-judge courts, in which there is an administrative judge who is responsible for all new filings, and assigns cases to individual judges if the cases become contested. In a single judge court, the reporting process becomes more difficult to understand because the administrative judge and the individual judge are the same person. However, the process is the same, except the administrative judge assigns cases to herself to be reported on a separate docket as if it were a different judge. Therefore, the Court’s caseload data is maintained in this administrative judge docket and individual judge docket format.

The Ohio Supreme Court does collect and report statistical information from all courts in Ohio. The following information reflects how busy the New Philadelphia Municipal Court is based on a comparison of the per judge caseload from this court and other courts in our general region.

**Comparison of Caseloads per Judge
(Source: 2013 Ohio Courts Summary)**

Municipal Court	New Philadelphia	Massillon	Akron	Canton	Wayne County
Cases per Judge	11,928	8,366	9,073	6,870	8,956

Administrative Judge's Docket

New cases filed and pending:

On December 31, 2013, **817** cases remained pending on the judge's Administrative Docket.

11,612 cases were added to the Administrative Docket during the calendar year of **2014**. On December 31, 2014, 860 cases remained pending on that docket.

This data is taken from reports that are prepared monthly. Therefore, the Court's docket is current if the number of cases shown "pending" approximates the number of new cases filed in a one-month period. In 2014, the New Philadelphia Municipal Court had new case filings as high as 1,280 in one month (September). The Court is very satisfied that the year-end pending figure is 420 cases less than our highest month filing figure. This essentially means that the Court's docket is current.

Administrative Docket Terminated Cases:

The Ohio Supreme Court defines "terminated cases" as those with final resolutions.

11,569 cases were "terminated" on the Administrative Docket in 2014. The cases on this docket were concluded as follows in 2014:

- **846** defendants appeared in Arraignment Court (Thursday afternoon), entered a plea of no contest or guilty, and were either sentenced at that time or on a later date.
- **818** license forfeitures or warrants were issued due to a defendant's failure to appear and/or post bond. This is over 100 more than 650 forfeitures or warrants over the previous year.
- **861** civil cases (including small claims) received a final judgment.
- Of the civil cases, there were **186** small claims trials and **675** civil hearings or trials which received final judgment.
- **1,784** cases were transferred from this docket to the judge's individual docket (trial docket) because a not guilty plea was entered on a criminal case, or an answer was filed in a civil case.
- **6,586** defendants concluded their cases by pleading guilty and posting bond at the Violations Window.
- **56** cases were transferred to another judge or court.
- **11** cases were stayed by the Bankruptcy Court or by an interlocutory appeal.
- **582** cases were terminated as other dismissals on this docket – many of these cases include successful resolutions in the mediation process, or successful completion of the Criminal Diversion Program.
- **19** cases were classified as "other terminations".
- **51** felony cases were bound over to the Tuscarawas County Common Pleas Court Grand Jury after a scheduled preliminary hearing.

- **11** felony cases were dismissed (usually upon motion of the prosecutor because of a grand jury indictment).

Individual Judge's Docket

New cases:

On December 31, 2013, **608** cases were pending on the judge's individual docket. **1,784** new cases were added to this docket by transfer from the administrative docket during the calendar year in 2014. 407 cases were "reactivated" or "redesignated." This usually occurs when a defendant is arrested upon a warrant issued by this court. On December 31, 2014, 480 cases remained pending on this docket.

Terminated Cases:

The Ohio Supreme Court defines "terminated cases" as those with final resolutions. **2,371** cases were "terminated" upon the judge's Individual Docket in 2014.

Highlights of how cases were terminated in 2014 follow:

- **5** jury trials were presided over by the judge.
- **107** non-jury trials were presided over by either the judge or a magistrate.
- **1,390** defendants pled not guilty and asked that a trial be scheduled, but entered a plea of guilty or no contest to the charge in lieu of proceeding to trial, usually on the day of trial (therefore, the courtroom time had to be set aside). Often, this is after a suppression hearing which can be as lengthy as a bench trial.
- **105** defendants pled not guilty and asked that a trial be scheduled, but entered a plea of guilty or no contest to a reduced charge in lieu of proceeding to trial, usually on the day of trial.
- **399** cases were dismissed after a plea of not guilty, usually upon motion of the prosecutor, prior to trial. Motions to dismiss are made for various reasons. Although an officer had probable cause to arrest, the prosecutor may not have enough evidence to prove a case beyond a reasonable doubt. Also, a ruling on a suppression issue may leave the prosecutor with little or no admissible evidence. Civil dismissals can result from settlement or other agreement of the parties.
- **363** warrants were issued for defendants who failed to appear for a court proceeding after initially entering a plea of not guilty.
- **1** case was terminated for miscellaneous reasons.
- **0** stays were issued due to bankruptcy filings.
- **55** cases were presided over by a visiting judge, but are reflected in the above breakdown. Most visiting judge cases were heard by assigned Judge Gary Willen of the Carroll County Municipal Court.

Total Cases Terminated in 2014 From Administrative and Individual Judge Dockets

The Court “terminated” (resolved), from the Administrative Judge’s docket and the Individual Judge’s docket, a total of **13,940 cases in 2014**. This figure only represents new cases designated as “terminated”, and does not include the numerous post-conviction and post judgment actions that are taken each year in the Court. This is a decrease of 3.1% from the 14,396 cases that were terminated in 2013.

Funding

Court Receipts Exceed 2 Million Dollars

Total receipts for the court totaled **\$2,230,244.50 in 2014**. This amount excludes the balance on hand as of January 1, 2014. Receipts of the Criminal and Traffic Division totaled **\$1,722,290.93**. This represents fines, court costs, interest, bonds, and fees.

Receipts of the Civil Division totaled **\$504,360.00 in 2014**. Civil receipts include filing fees, deposits for costs, bonds, and interest.

2014 Disbursements of the Court

The criminal/traffic disbursements equaled the receipts set out in the previous section. However, it is important to realize that a portion of the moneys taken in and paid out by the Court represents money that the Court is merely holding temporarily. For example, bonds are often returned to the individual posting them. For this reason, the bank accounts of the Court will always reflect a balance on hand amount.

The Court's disbursements are divided among the various political subdivisions affected by the Court.

A complete balance sheet, prepared by our bookkeeper, Michele Green, is provided as an appendix to this report, but some notable highlights include:

- The Court remitted **\$1,182,731.04** to the City of New Philadelphia.
- The Court paid **\$492,474.50** to the City of New Philadelphia for the City's use. With collected interest and unclaimed funds returned to the City, the City actually received **\$494,755.24**.
- The Court paid **\$663,250.44** to the City Treasury for the Court's use.
- The City of New Philadelphia received **\$20,899.10** from the City of Dover and the Villages of Bolivar, Strasburg, and Sugarcreek as a proportionate share of the Court's operating expenses.
- The court remitted **\$2,280.74** to the City of New Philadelphia in unclaimed funds pursuant to statute.
- The Court utilized **\$579,697.27** of its own funds for expenditures and **\$792,562.97** of its City budget for expenditures.

- Tuscarawas County provided **\$178,150.34** of the Court's operating budget. The Court returned **\$185,217.24** to the County in fines and fees.
 - Pursuant to Ohio law, the Court remitted **\$444,322.73** to various state agencies.
 - The Court remitted **\$19,054.95** in fine monies to the City of Dover and a number of other villages within the Court's jurisdiction that use the Court.
-

Specific Funds

Computer Funds

Current legislation allows the Court to collect additional court costs that are deposited into specific funds for the computerization of the Court. This money can be used to purchase and maintain computer systems for the clerk's office. The money can also be used to provide computerized legal research for the Court. All computer paper, supplies, and a portion of salaries/benefits for computer staff can be purchased or paid for through these funds. One fund is also to be used for "technical" purchases if there is a surplus in the fund.

Probation Fund

The Court has previously established a Probation Fund. A monthly probation supervision fee is assessed to all those admitted to a term of probation. These fees are then deposited into the Probation Fund. This fund can be used to provide necessary equipment and pay expenses for the Probation Department. Since we were unable to estimate the rate at which the fund would grow, the fund at first was permitted to accumulate. The result is an established budget that is reviewed with the judge. Many of the expenses of the Probation Department have been transferred to this fund. The Judge reviews and approves all expenditures of this fund.

Special Projects Fund

The Court established a fund entitled Special Projects Fund on December 15, 1997. The purpose of this fund is to provide for the efficient operation of the Court. The Court determined that additional funds were necessary to acquire and pay for special projects of the Court including, but not limited to, the acquisition of additional facilities or the rehabilitation of existing facilities, the acquisition of equipment, the hiring and training of staff, mediation or dispute resolution services, the employment of magistrates, the training and education of the judge, acting judges, and magistrates, and other related services. Upon that determination, the Court by rule charges a fee, in addition to all other court costs, on the filing of each criminal case, civil action or proceeding, or judgment by confession.

Domestic Violence Fund

If a municipal court offers a special program or service in cases of a specific type, the municipal court by rule may assess an additional charge in a case of that type, over and above court costs, to cover the special program or service. The New Philadelphia Municipal Court has created a Domestic Violence Fund from a fee assessed on all domestic violence filings. This fund has not accumulated at a great rate and is used to allow staff to obtain specialized training in domestic violence and working with domestic violence offenders.

Dispute Resolution Fund

The Court established the Dispute Resolution Fund on July 1, 2006. The Court created a Dispute Settlement Program in 2003 to provide mediation services. Most income tax and bad check cases proceed through the Dispute Settlement Program as an alternative means of resolution other than a judicial proceeding. The purpose of establishing the Dispute Resolution Fund is to cover the cost of the program including the personnel costs of processing the case.

Criminal Diversion Program Fund

The Criminal Diversion Program Fund was created in conjunction with the Criminal Diversion Program (CDP), since it is a special program or service in cases of a specific type. The Court may assess an additional charge in a case of that type over and above court costs. We are able to pay a portion of a Magistrate's salary with these funds.

Payment Plan Fund

In August of 2012, by local rule, the Court created a Payment Plan Program to promote payment compliance among offenders who owe the court money as a result of financial sanctions and/or court costs issued by the court. Offenders who owe the court money can enter into a payment plan with the court and their compliance is continually reviewed. The fee to participate is \$25.00, and will be used to defray the costs of the program.

Indigent Drivers Alcohol Treatment Fund (I.D.A.T.)

Pursuant to state law, when individuals are convicted of operating a vehicle under the influence of drugs or alcohol (O.V.I.), or for refusing a breath test, their license is suspended for a period of time. After their suspension is over, they must pay a \$475.00 reinstatement fee to the Bureau of Motor Vehicles to get their license back. A portion of the reinstatement fee is directed to the I.D.A.T. fund by the Ohio Department of Alcohol and Addiction Services. In addition, H.B. 562, in July of 2009, imposed a \$10.00 court cost on all moving violations. \$1.50 of these increased costs also goes into this fund. This fund is used to pay for treatment for O.V.I. offenders.

By law, the municipal court is required to have an I.D.A.T. fund. These funds are used to pay for the cost of alcohol and/or drug addiction treatment if a defendant is ordered into treatment and cannot afford to pay the cost of attending the program. In 2005, the state legislature amended the I.D.A.T. statute to allow courts to utilize these funds to pay for S.C.R.A.M. anklets for defendants. This has resulted in an efficient expenditure of these

funds as not all offenders require in-patient treatment. **In 2014, ten indigent defendants received in-patient treatment or alcohol monitoring devices using this fund.**

Indigent Drivers Interlock and Alcohol Monitoring Fund (I.D.I.A.M.)

A state law which became effective in September of 2008 increased mandatory O.V.I. fines by \$50.00. In addition, license reinstatement fees were increased by \$50.00. These fines and fees are deposited into this fund which the court was required to establish. These funds are to be used exclusively to cover the cost of immobilizing or disabling devices, including ignition interlock devices and remote alcohol monitoring devices for indigent offenders who are required by a judge to use either of these devices. **In 2014, twenty-six indigent defendants received alcohol monitoring devices or ignition interlock devices using this fund.**

Since the amounts collected and deposited into these funds can only be utilized by the Court, and then only for specific purposes, it is misleading to show the amount deposited into these funds as being paid to the City of New Philadelphia when preparing a summary of what percentage of the Court's disbursements are received by whom. Likewise, it would then also be misleading to include purchases made from the funds to be included as an expense of the City of New Philadelphia when preparing a summary of who pays the general operating expenses of the Court.

Municipal Court Staff

Magistrates

Garry O. Hurless and Christine M. Weimer continue to serve as full time Magistrates. Both came to the Court with extensive experience and have worked diligently to hear and decide cases in a thorough, timely, and efficient manner. The magistrates hear numerous criminal/traffic cases, and civil and small claims cases. Their decisions are reviewed by Judge VonAllman.

Clerk's Office

Clerk **Julie Stamets** has continued her efforts to cross-train as many clerk staff as possible. This has created more flexibility in coverage and is a testament to the hard work and dedication of the entire Clerk of Court staff.

Leann Stephens, Chief Deputy Traffic and Criminal Clerk, is also our Court Statistical Officer. She prepares our monthly Supreme Court reports, along with her many other duties. Ms. Stephens continues to work with the judge to identify and terminate cases that are older than they should be.

Michele Green, bookkeeper works tirelessly to keep the financial aspect of the court running smoothly. She also must work with auditors that review our financial records. We continue to pass these audits.

Criminal /Traffic Division

Deputy Clerks **Carole Cenci, Robin Staley, Julie Yutzy, and Katie Saba** work tirelessly and pleasantly to process an unending caseload. They are valued and appreciated by the Judge and Clerk Stamets.

Civil Division

Deputy Clerk **Shirley Farver** is kept quite busy handling the regular civil and small claims dockets.

Both Divisions

Deputy Clerks **Becky Gross, Lori Griffith, and Jo Dee Vesco** must do the work of both divisions, as needed. They are always willing to drop what they are doing and go where needed.

Administrative Support

Judith Dzigiel joined the Court in June of 2003 as Court Administrator and is the Judge's right hand. She keeps the Court running efficiently and in compliance with all rules and regulations applicable to Court operations.

Gloria Metzger continues to make the complicated work of scheduling a multitude of hearings and cases for 3 courtrooms look effortless as the Assignment Commissioner.

Amy Septer, formerly the Chief Deputy Civil Clerk, became the Judge's Administrative Assistant in 2014.

Probation Department

The Court's philosophy is that the recovery program of a defendant placed on community control sanctions should be about accountability for one's mistakes and paying one's own way. Therefore, it is the Court's goal to create and maintain a probation department that is funded to the greatest extent by the defendants who use it, and not by the taxpayers. The Probation Department is committed to serving offenders and the Court.

The Probation Department is staffed by Chief Probation Officer **Leslie Miller**, and Probation Officers **Wendy Jones and Mindy Craig** who continue to contribute their hard work and dedication to the success of the department. **Carrie Howell** serves as the Department's Administrative Assistant.

The Probation Department is responsible for conducting and preparing pre-sentence investigations for defendants awaiting sentencing. In addition, the department supervises each defendant placed on probation. Probation terms vary in both duration and conditions. While some defendants have a term of probation of a few months, the majority are for a year or more. Depending on the sentence, defendants placed on probation may be on Intensive Supervision or Basic Supervision. The department is also responsible for collecting all fines and court costs ordered by the Court.

The Probation Department also prepares reports for expungement requests that are filed with the court. In addition, they also monitor cases in which defendants are not placed on a term of community control sanctions but are required to serve jail or perform community service or complete the Inmate Work Program.

Some notable information about the department includes:

- The Probation Officers obtained over 70 hours of continuing education hours in their field. Our probation officers attended a two day Line Officers Training Institute in June and took many relevant classes including: Medication Assisted Treatment (MAT), Opiate Addiction, New and Old Drug Trends, and Legislation Impacting Probation. In addition, they attended seminars on Motivational Interviewing, Meth Identification,

Probation Ethics, and Specialized Dockets. Ms. Miller also received her Chemical Dependency Counselor Assistant certification.

- The Probation Department added **560** defendants to be monitored in 2014. 137 defendants remain on probation from 2013. Therefore, the total number of people on “active” community controlled sanctions for 2014 was **697**.
- **68** defendants were placed on **Intensive Supervision** which requires more intensive oversight. Types of offenders typically placed on Intensive Supervision include those convicted of repeat offender OVI’s, cases involving violence, and individuals with significant mental health issues.
- **242** defendants were placed on **Standard Supervision** which requires less than intensive but more than basic oversight.
- **387** defendants were placed on **Basic Probation**.
- **16** people were placed on electronically monitored house arrest in lieu of jail in 2014. Persons placed on house arrest must pay a hook-up fee and a daily fee for the monitoring. To date, **946** days have been ordered to be served. At an average incarceration cost of \$70.00 per day, the costs to the county would have been approximately **\$66,220.00** if those defendants had served those days in jail.
- In addition to utilizing the **SCRAM** program, which stands for Secure Continuous Remote Alcohol Monitoring for offenders for whom alcohol use is a problem, Court Probation officers were trained and can also install **TAD** (Transdermal Alcohol Detection) bracelets. Both bracelets continuously monitor the wearer’s perspiration for alcohol. The use of these alcohol monitoring bracelets is different than house arrest and can be ordered as a non-residential sanction in addition to jail time or house arrest. In 2014, **79** defendants were referred to the SCRAM or TAD program. These defendants were referred for a total of **4,886** days.
- The Court assigned **34** defendants to the Tuscarawas County Jail Inmate Work Program to perform litter pick-up for a total of **165** days. This program allows defendants to serve eight hours per day on Saturdays and Sundays from June through December picking up litter along Tuscarawas County roadways instead of sitting in jail. While defendants from other courts also participate, the total program results in lower inmate housing costs and the collection of a significant amount of trash and recyclables. At an average incarceration cost of \$70.00 per day, the costs to the county would have been approximately **\$11,550.00** if those defendants had served those days in jail.
- The court ordered **367** defendants to complete **12,220** hours of community service at various civic organizations and agencies including the YMCA, Salvation Army, Goodwill, Tuscora Park, the City of New Philadelphia, and various churches.

- The court ordered **\$24,971.08** in restitution to be paid by defendants to parties suffering personal injury or property damage as a result of the defendant’s criminal conduct.

- The Probation Department held **4,420** in-person meetings with probationers in 2014. Meeting regularly with probationers is an effective case management tool to supervise compliance with probation terms.

- The Probation Department also monitors defendant’s compliance with the Criminal Diversion Program (CDP). **86 first-time offenders** entered the program in 2014. 56 successfully completed the program in 2014, and 12 remain enrolled. 14 people were terminated from the program, and 4 have pending warrants. Probation Officer Mindy Craig works closely with Magistrate Hurless with this Program

- **Non-Probation Community Service:** Some offenders are not required to serve a term of probation, but are given community service as part of their sentence. Leslie Miller, Chief Probation Officer, also serves as the compliance officer for these offenders. In 2014, **596** defendants were ordered to complete **3,816** hours of community service. In addition, defendants wishing to contribute in lieu of performing community service paid **\$41,605.00** in CILOCS.

Breakdown of Probation Population:

<u>Probation</u>	<u>Number of Persons Ordered</u>
- Intensive Supervision	68 probationers
- Standard Supervision	242 probationers
- Basic Supervision	387 probationers
- Substance Abuse Counseling	274 probationers
- Anger Counseling	29 probationers
- In-House Treatment	14 probationers
- Driver’s Intervention Program	201 probationers
- Driver’s Safety Class	52 probationers
- SCRAM/TAD – alcohol monitoring	79 probationers
- House Arrest	16 probationers
- Criminal Diversion Program	86 people

Court Security/Bailiffs

Matt Henry became the Chief Bailiff/Chief Court Security Officer in November of 2011. He is assisted by Bailiffs and Security Officers **Joe Reiger, Rick McCoy, and Mary Chenevey**. **John Grasselli** retired for the second time in 2014. The bailiffs stay very busy assisting in the courtrooms, serving subpoenas and other processes (including evictions), and providing security in the building.

Some notable information tracked by the Court Security Officers and Bailiffs include:

Process Serving Data 2014:

Month	Income Tax	Notice Hearings	Evictions	Subpoenas	Debtor Exams	Writs	Fail serves
Jan.	3	0	16	274	0	2	28
Feb.	3	8	17	304	0	3	41
March	0	2	15	203	0	4	17
April	0	8	40	237	0	3	5
May	0	5	32	257	1	0	27
June	0	3	30	222	0	2	15
July	0	8	40	253	0	4	40
Aug.	0	0	12	236	0	2	14
Sept.	0	2	33	243	0	2	9
Oct.	0	4	26	238	0	4	13
Nov.	0	2	17	164	0	3	19
Dec.	0	3	22	171	0	0	11
Total	6	45	300	2,802	1	29	239 x 3 =717*

* Fail serve number of 239 is actually 717 as each attempt was made three times.

Our bailiff's, primarily Rick McCoy, spent 529.75 hours serving the above process.

Security Log Retention Data 2013:

All persons entering the building are subject to security screening. The following items were obtained from persons entering the building in 2013.

- 88 knives
- 3 pepper spray
- 1 razor
- 1 box cutter
- 1 pair of scissors

Conclusion

This Annual Report was prepared by Judge Nanette DeGarmo VonAllman and Court Administrator Judith Dzigiel. Probation information was prepared by Leslie Miller. Security/Bailiff information was prepared by Matt Henry. The balance sheet was prepared by Clerk Julie Stamets and Bookkeeper Michele Green. Statistical information was prepared by Leann Stephens, the court statistical officer. This Report was printed and assembled in-house.

Respectfully Submitted,

Judge Nanette DeGarmo VonAllman

Clerk Julie A. Stamets

Appendix

Balance Sheet

BALANCE ON HAND JANUARY 2014

	TRAFFIC/CRIMINAL 2014												TOTALS
	JAN	FEB	MARCH	APRIL	MAY	JUNE	JULY	AUGUST	SEPT	OCT	NOV	DEC	
NEW PHILA DISBURSEMENTS													
Traffic/Criminal Fines	\$0.00	\$1,667.33	\$2,357.01	\$1,931.24	\$2,050.90	\$1,377.00	\$1,757.10	\$1,554.34	\$1,638.92	\$4,690.00	\$2,362.40	\$1,394.92	\$22,781.16
Local Costs	\$26,261.16	\$31,706.94	\$30,363.72	\$24,077.88	\$26,767.57	\$34,237.10	\$29,996.21	\$23,454.56	\$33,858.27	\$32,033.80	\$24,087.62	\$22,850.15	\$339,694.98
Legal Research	\$1,935.00	\$1,820.08	\$1,963.02	\$1,708.70	\$1,769.50	\$2,519.50	\$2,357.50	\$1,659.98	\$2,840.00	\$2,318.20	\$1,701.00	\$1,658.00	\$24,250.48
Special Projects	\$21,099.20	\$21,241.82	\$22,002.62	\$18,816.72	\$20,286.92	\$27,439.38	\$25,977.95	\$18,438.75	\$29,334.13	\$24,734.32	\$18,076.44	\$17,781.17	\$265,229.42
Computer Improvements	\$3,674.78	\$3,574.84	\$3,892.16	\$3,423.84	\$3,679.00	\$5,610.00	\$5,076.30	\$3,356.50	\$5,768.30	\$4,434.60	\$3,288.36	\$3,079.00	\$48,857.68
Dispute Resolution	\$2,720.25	\$2,494.00	\$2,717.00	\$2,482.00	\$2,633.74	\$3,882.02	\$3,645.50	\$2,407.61	\$4,191.05	\$3,285.60	\$2,431.98	\$2,328.00	\$35,218.75
Jury Fees	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Witness Fees	\$6.00	\$0.00	\$48.00	\$18.00	\$6.00	\$0.00	\$12.00	\$6.00	\$30.00	\$6.00	\$0.00	\$18.00	\$150.00
Probation Fees	\$18,659.50	\$27,556.40	\$19,757.96	\$16,156.63	\$14,579.92	\$10,638.10	\$13,547.00	\$12,279.09	\$11,613.53	\$14,499.20	\$8,688.75	\$9,111.30	\$177,087.38
Return Check Fees	\$0.00	\$20.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$20.00
Domestic Violence Fees	\$275.00	\$547.70	\$629.00	\$125.00	\$393.00	\$240.00	\$127.75	\$244.00	\$156.00	\$11.00	\$25.25	\$100.00	\$2,873.70
New Phila I.D.A.T.E.	\$400.00	\$550.00	\$935.00	\$547.00	\$518.00	\$440.00	\$627.00	\$495.20	\$502.00	\$595.00	\$401.00	\$339.50	\$6,349.70
New Phila OSP Fines 45%	\$5,182.36	\$4,382.41	\$4,628.64	\$4,053.97	\$4,943.62	\$7,421.18	\$6,899.93	\$4,490.47	\$8,528.80	\$5,259.24	\$4,129.41	\$3,739.51	\$63,659.54
Expungements (\$20.00)	\$20.00	\$120.00	\$20.00	\$20.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$60.00	\$20.00	\$20.00	\$0.00	\$20.00	\$20.00	\$320.00
OVI Costs	\$50.00	\$157.00	\$248.00	\$132.00	\$122.00	\$60.00	\$150.00	\$75.00	\$50.00	\$208.00	\$100.00	\$70.00	\$1,422.00
Bailiff Fees	\$977.25	\$1,245.00	\$1,124.10	\$627.78	\$556.07	\$614.35	\$731.55	\$645.00	\$742.80	\$685.80	\$733.20	\$574.80	\$9,257.70
IDIF	\$644.00	\$1,025.00	\$1,522.50	\$869.50	\$700.00	\$506.00	\$1,086.00	\$1,030.00	\$811.00	\$1,235.00	\$562.00	\$400.00	\$10,391.00
Indigent Alcohol Treatment Fund	\$784.50	\$690.00	\$742.50	\$690.90	\$733.50	\$1,093.50	\$1,047.70	\$711.00	\$1,287.00	\$1,047.80	\$736.50	\$700.50	\$10,265.40
New Phila Misc:Jail Fees, Wret, Video fees & Parking Fines	\$65.50	\$192.50	\$219.02	\$108.52	\$122.00	\$173.26	\$173.78	\$153.00	\$92.50	\$100.00	\$210.35	\$182.88	\$1,793.31
CRIMINAL DIVERSION PROGRAM	\$880.00	\$800.00	\$1,703.00	\$1,405.00	\$1,950.00	\$710.00	\$2,365.00	\$1,850.00	\$432.00	\$1,057.00	\$1,694.00	\$1,396.00	\$16,242.00
2012 Payment Plan Fee (\$25)	\$1,094.00	\$1,530.00	\$1,739.86	\$640.50	\$972.00	\$965.00	\$672.00	\$963.00	\$804.00	\$1,430.00	\$992.00	\$1,044.50	\$12,846.86
NEW PHILADELPHIA TOTALS 2014	\$84,728.50	\$101,321.02	\$96,613.11	\$77,835.18	\$82,783.74	\$97,926.39	\$96,310.27	\$73,833.50	\$102,700.30	\$97,630.56	\$70,240.26	\$66,788.23	\$1,048,711.06
CAPITAL RECOVERY FEES NOV 12	\$1,877.15	\$4,215.98	\$5,928.82	\$2,478.50	\$1,436.23	\$1,789.62	\$2,101.42	\$1,401.96	\$1,643.94	\$2,172.82	\$2,238.16	\$1,108.40	\$28,393.00
STATE OF OHIO TOTALS 2014	JAN	FEB	MARCH	APRIL	MAY	JUNE	JULY	AUGUST	SEPT	OCT	NOV	DEC	TOTALS
Victims of Crime	\$5,422.31	\$5,345.42	\$5,635.60	\$4,800.22	\$5,035.83	\$7,387.85	\$7,020.61	\$4,921.57	\$8,353.00	\$6,963.00	\$4,938.95	\$4,762.08	\$70,586.44
General Revenue	\$176.12	\$794.54	\$644.16	\$188.48	\$157.70	\$235.00	\$198.50	\$219.00	\$199.70	\$224.06	\$262.44	\$33.00	\$3,332.70
State OSP Fines 45%	\$6,463.33	\$5,294.31	\$5,755.53	\$5,057.79	\$6,104.94	\$9,241.36	\$8,464.97	\$5,567.06	\$10,644.13	\$6,535.12	\$5,152.86	\$4,621.31	\$78,902.71
Liquor Fines (50%)	\$1,186.30	\$622.95	\$637.75	\$155.99	\$99.00	\$327.00	\$188.50	\$121.80	\$125.00	\$58.50	\$64.54	\$267.50	\$3,854.83
Expungements (\$30.00)	\$60.00	\$480.00	\$120.00	\$90.00	\$60.00	\$120.00	\$150.00	\$30.00	\$60.00	\$0.00	\$30.00	\$90.00	\$1,290.00
OSP Expungement Fee	\$0.00	\$20.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$20.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$40.00
Child Safety/Hwy Safety	\$37.00	\$3.25	\$25.00	\$37.00	\$0.00	\$72.00	\$67.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$37.00	\$50.00	\$328.25
Seat Belt Fines	\$1,425.00	\$1,135.10	\$1,692.00	\$1,648.20	\$1,992.00	\$4,422.30	\$3,238.00	\$1,681.00	\$3,255.00	\$1,886.40	\$1,411.00	\$1,165.60	\$24,951.60
OSP OVI'S	\$270.00	\$255.00	\$372.00	\$220.00	\$552.50	\$175.00	\$385.00	\$301.00	\$275.50	\$250.00	\$203.50	\$237.50	\$3,497.00
Bureau of Motor Vehicles	\$0.00	\$30.00	\$15.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$15.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$60.00
OSP Warrant Return	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$62.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$62.00
Indigent Defense Support (idsf)	\$1,275.00	\$1,588.00	\$2,611.00	\$1,450.00	\$1,257.00	\$943.00	\$1,748.00	\$1,589.00	\$1,266.00	\$2,313.00	\$824.00	\$733.00	\$17,597.00
Bail Bond Surcharge	\$725.00	\$880.00	\$450.00	\$700.00	\$400.00	\$775.00	\$625.00	\$525.00	\$325.00	\$575.00	\$425.00	\$700.00	\$7,105.00
Indigent Support Defense (idfc)	\$15,035.99	\$13,466.80	\$14,413.10	\$13,318.80	\$13,984.15	\$20,943.70	\$19,784.10	\$13,559.30	\$23,540.94	\$19,153.56	\$13,325.90	\$13,257.91	\$193,784.25
Drug Law Enforce/Justice Program	\$1,830.60	\$1,613.20	\$1,733.90	\$1,610.30	\$1,716.30	\$2,549.20	\$2,448.10	\$1,655.00	\$3,000.20	\$2,439.40	\$1,718.00	\$1,639.70	\$23,953.90
State of Ohio Monthly Totals	\$33,906.65	\$31,528.57	\$34,105.04	\$29,276.78	\$31,359.42	\$47,211.41	\$44,317.78	\$30,169.73	\$51,059.47	\$40,460.04	\$28,393.19	\$27,557.60	\$429,345.68

Traffic/Criminal Receipt's Cont'd

TUSCARAWAS COUNTY RECEIPTS

	JAN	FEB	MARCH	APRIL	MAY	JUNE	JULY	AUG	SEPT	OCT	NOV	DEC	TOTALS
FINES	\$6,562.02	\$13,709.21	\$14,735.14	\$8,902.89	\$7,969.95	\$7,931.04	\$6,273.21	\$7,691.93	\$5,416.05	\$6,371.84	\$5,553.79	\$5,667.42	\$96,784.49
OSP FINE MONEY (10%)	\$1,293.96	\$1,075.19	\$1,153.77	\$1,012.42	\$1,227.62	\$1,851.40	\$1,707.20	\$1,117.49	\$2,130.32	\$1,310.48	\$1,031.33	\$928.97	\$15,840.15
LIQUOR FINE MONEY (50%)	\$1,186.30	\$622.95	\$637.76	\$156.00	\$99.00	\$327.00	\$188.50	\$121.80	\$125.00	\$58.50	\$64.54	\$267.50	\$3,854.85
SHERIFF HOUSING	\$850.00	\$1,104.00	\$1,683.50	\$1,227.70	\$1,363.00	\$1,056.00	\$1,274.00	\$1,032.00	\$1,615.55	\$1,628.00	\$724.00	\$545.00	\$14,102.75
SHERIFF FEES	\$90.00	\$160.00	\$204.82	\$88.00	\$57.00	\$97.00	\$93.00	\$108.00	\$172.20	\$68.00	\$80.00	\$59.00	\$1,277.02
SHERIFF OVI'S	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$75.00	\$52.00	\$25.00	\$79.50	\$12.00	\$50.00	\$62.50	\$50.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$406.00
DOG WARDEN	\$84.00	\$17.00	\$34.00	\$44.00	\$245.00	\$0.00	\$34.00	\$0.00	\$64.00	\$0.00	\$150.00	\$77.00	\$749.00
M.W.C.D.	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$100.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$200.00	\$50.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$350.00
Expungements	0.00	60.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	40.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	160.00
Tusc County Misc Wret, Serv Etc	\$67.50	\$143.50	\$58.00	\$65.00	\$103.00	\$29.00	\$117.30	\$75.90	\$60.00	\$70.00	\$94.00	\$44.00	\$927.20
Witness Fees Collected	\$312.00	\$405.00	\$459.00	\$159.64	\$146.00	\$134.00	\$174.00	\$218.00	\$106.00	\$132.00	\$128.00	\$108.00	\$2,481.64
Jury Fees Collected	\$276.00	\$921.00	\$348.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$360.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,905.00
Bailiff Fees	\$651.50	\$830.00	\$749.40	\$418.52	\$370.71	\$409.57	\$487.70	\$430.00	\$495.20	\$457.20	\$488.80	\$383.20	\$6,171.80
Law Library	\$2,886.10	\$2,584.65	\$29.25	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$5,500.00
Contribution in Lieu of Comm Serv	\$1,303.40	\$2,473.80	\$1,880.86	\$1,337.01	\$1,754.04	\$1,887.21	\$1,509.62	\$1,132.20	\$1,443.71	\$2,088.52	\$1,435.38	\$1,148.17	\$19,393.92
PUBLIC DEFENDER FEE	\$1,050.00	\$1,457.04	\$2,162.62	\$828.50	\$1,000.60	\$1,683.00	\$990.00	\$1,527.00	\$832.66	\$1,119.50	\$1,042.50	\$718.00	\$14,411.42
Tuscarawas County Totals	\$16,612.78	\$25,563.34	\$24,331.12	\$14,291.68	\$14,360.92	\$15,884.72	\$13,100.53	\$13,554.32	\$12,523.19	\$13,354.04	\$10,792.34	\$9,946.26	\$184,315.24
Misc Personal Service-Not Tusc Co	\$12.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$20.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$32.00
Ohio Dept of Natural Resources	\$169.00	\$174.00	\$0.00	\$21.00	\$0.00	\$50.00	\$400.00	\$0.00	\$116.70	\$250.00	\$87.30	\$200.00	\$1,468.00
Ohio Dept. Of Nat. Res. Expunge	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$20.00	\$20.00
Ohio Board of Pharmacy	\$5,400.50	\$903.00	\$474.50	\$607.50	\$455.50	\$407.00	\$0.00	\$262.00	\$425.00	\$411.00	\$75.00	\$1,530.00	\$10,951.00
Other Cities and Villages	JAN	FEB	MARCH	APRIL	MAY	JUNE	JULY	AUG	SEPT	OCT	NOV	DEC	
Village of Baltic	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$75.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$75.00
Village of Bolivar	\$0.00	\$20.00	\$437.65	\$164.00	\$376.00	\$159.00	\$234.00	\$313.00	\$304.00	\$225.00	\$293.00	\$80.00	\$2,605.65
City of Dover	\$82.70	\$635.90	\$1,810.50	\$560.30	\$519.00	\$1,376.50	\$685.00	\$638.00	\$553.20	\$1,112.00	\$647.00	\$450.30	\$9,070.40
Village of Midvale	\$9.30	\$4.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$7.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$20.30
Village of Mineral City	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Village of Roswell	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$37.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$37.00
Village of Stonecreek	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Village of Strasburg	\$0.00	\$69.50	\$525.00	\$145.00	\$168.00	\$663.00	\$743.00	\$121.00	\$441.00	\$243.00	\$367.00	\$519.00	\$4,004.50
Village of Sugarcreek	\$0.00	\$290.50	\$206.00	\$439.00	\$379.01	\$524.99	\$229.00	\$215.00	\$119.00	\$162.00	\$198.00	\$420.00	\$3,182.50
Village of Zoar	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$22.60	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$37.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$59.60
2014 VILLAGE TOTALS	\$92.00	\$1,019.90	\$3,038.75	\$1,308.30	\$1,517.01	\$2,723.49	\$1,891.00	\$1,287.00	\$1,417.20	\$1,786.00	\$1,505.00	\$1,469.30	\$19,054.95
MONTHLY GRAND TOTALS 2014	\$142,798.58	\$164,725.81	\$164,491.34	\$125,838.94	\$131,912.82	\$165,992.63	\$158,121.00	\$120,508.51	\$169,885.80	\$156,064.46	\$113,331.25	\$108,619.79	\$1,722,290.93
2014 Bonds Received	\$10,291.00	\$6,972.00	\$11,715.78	\$8,182.69	\$89,744.00	\$239,132.26	\$15,109.00	\$4,416.00	\$12,434.00	\$9,761.00	\$6,491.00	\$11,201.00	\$425,449.73
2014 Bonds Disbursed	\$13,779.00	\$15,462.00	\$9,651.75	\$6,921.89	\$10,661.00	\$88,668.50	\$10,341.93	\$11,654.00	\$14,763.00	\$6,477.00	\$8,281.00	\$15,126.00	\$211,787.07

New Philadelphia Municipal Court---Traffic and Criminal---2014 Receipts and Disbursements**Receipts:**

Traffic and Criminal Fines	\$347,513.03
Traffic & Criminal Court Costs	\$339,694.98
Computer Improvements	\$48,857.68
Legal Research	\$24,250.48
Special Projects	\$265,229.42
Jury & Witness Fees	\$4,536.64
Probation Fees	\$177,087.38
Warrant Return,Jail,Video,Personal Service, & Expungement Fees	\$4,961.51
Domestic Violence	\$2,873.70
Return Check Fees	\$20.00
Victims of Crime	\$70,586.44
General Revenue	\$3,332.70
OVI'S	\$26,945.95
Sheriff Fees	\$1,277.02
Bureau of Motor Vehicles	\$60.00
Dispute Resolution	\$35,218.75
Personal Service-Not Tuscarawas County	\$32.00
Public Defender Fund	\$14,411.42
Bailiff Fees	\$15,429.50
Drug Law Enforcement/Justice Program Services	\$23,953.90
Indigent Drivers Interlock & Alcohol Fund	\$10,391.00
Indigent Defense Support Fund	\$218,486.25
Indigent Alcohol Treatment Fund	\$10,265.40
Criminal Diversion Program	\$16,242.00
Capital Recovery Fees Collected (New in Nov 12)	\$28,393.00
Payment Plan Fee (New in 2012)	\$12,846.86
Tuscarawas County Contribution in Lieu of Community Service	\$19,393.92

Total Receipts**\$1,722,290.93****Bonds & Transfer Receipts****Disbursements:**

City Of New Philadelphia-Fines	\$22,781.16
I.D.A.T.E.	\$6,349.70
NPPD OVI Fines	\$1,422.00
OSP (45%)	\$63,659.54
Local Costs	\$339,694.98
Legal Research	\$24,250.48
Special Projects	\$265,229.42
Computer Improvements	\$48,857.68
Jury & Witness Fees	\$150.00
Probation Fees	\$177,087.38
Return Ck Fees	\$20.00
Domestic Violence Fees	\$2,873.70
Expungements	\$320.00
Dispute Resolution	\$35,218.75
Bailiff Fees	\$9,257.70
Jail Fees, Warrant Returns,Parking & Video Fees	\$1,793.31
Indigent Drivers Interlock & Alcohol Fund	\$10,391.00
IATC	\$10,265.40
Criminal Diversion Program	\$16,242.00
Payment Plan Fee (Began in 2012)	\$12,846.86

SUB-TOTAL**\$1,048,711.06****Tuscarawas County**

Fines	\$96,784.49
OSP Fine Money (10%)	\$15,840.15
Sheriff Fees	\$1,277.02
Costs:M.W.C.D.,Dog Warden	\$1,099.00
Sheriff OVI's	\$406.00
Sheriff Housing	\$14,102.75
Liquor Fines	\$3,854.85
Tusc Co. Misc, Warrant Ret & Personal Service	\$927.20
Jury & Witness Fees	\$4,386.64
Expungements	\$160.00
Bailiff Fees	\$6,171.80
Contribution In Lieu of Community Service	\$19,393.92

SUB TOTAL**\$164,403.82****DISBURSEMENT SUB-TOTAL****\$1,213,114.88**

STATE OF OHIO DISBURSEMENTS

Victims of Crime	\$70,586.44
General Revenue	\$3,332.70
State OSP Fines (45%)	\$78,902.71
Liquor Fines (50%)	\$3,854.83
Expungements	\$1,290.00
Child Safety/Hwy Safety	\$328.25
Seatbelt Fines	\$24,951.60
OSP OVI'S	\$3,497.00
Bureau of Motor Vehicles	\$60.00
OSP Expungements and Warrant Return	\$102.00
Indigent Defense Support Fund	\$24,702.00
Indigent Support Defense (IDFC)	\$193,784.25
Drug Law Enforcement/Justice Program Services	\$23,953.90

SUB TOTAL **\$429,345.68**

Ohio Board of Pharmacy Fines **\$10,951.00**

Capital Recovery Systems Inc Collection Fees (New in 2012) **\$28,393.00**

Other Cities & Villages

Village of Baltic - Fines/Costs	\$75.00
Village of Barnhill - Fines/Costs	\$0.00
Village of Bolivar - Fines/Costs	\$2,605.65
City of Dover - Fines/Costs	\$9,070.40
Village of Midvale - Fines/Costs	\$20.30
Village of Mineral City - Fines/Costs	\$0.00
Village of Roswell - Fines/Costs	\$37.00
Village of Stonecreek - Fines/Costs	\$0.00
Village of Strasburg - Fines/Costs	\$4,004.50
Village of Sugarcreek - Fines/Costs	\$3,182.50
Village of Zoar - Fines/Costs	\$59.60

Cities & Villages Sub-Total **\$19,054.95**

Service by Agencies out of Tuscarawas County **\$32.00**

Ohio Dept of Natural Resources **\$1,488.00**

Public Defender Fund **\$14,411.42**

Tuscarawas County Law Library **\$5,500.00**

The Village's of Midvale & Roswell sent in check's for the percentage they owed for the Law Library instead of deducting from fines collected, so the total sent to the Law Library was \$5500.00.

SUB TOTAL **\$21,431.42**

Total Disbursements from page 1 & 2 **\$1,722,290.93**

Bond Refunds, Transfers, Bind-Overs **\$425,449.73**

Overpayments **\$211,787.07**

Net Receipts over Disbursements(Bonds) **\$213,662.66**

Balance on Hand, December 31st 2013 **\$184,120.62**

Balance on Hand, December 31st 2014 **\$375,645.93**

CIVIL/SMALL CLAIMS 2014

Receipts	Jan	Feb	March	April	May	June	July	August	Sept	October	November	December	Totals
Court Costs	\$4,028.50	\$3,847.25	\$4,938.00	\$2,750.00	\$5,165.50	\$4,999.75	\$4,431.00	\$3,897.50	\$4,809.00	\$4,506.25	\$3,887.50	\$4,587.50	\$51,847.75
Computer Improvements	\$1,450.00	\$1,430.00	\$1,823.00	\$1,030.00	\$1,810.00	\$1,920.00	\$1,405.00	\$1,380.00	\$1,800.00	\$1,470.00	\$1,320.00	\$1,540.00	\$18,378.00
Legal Research	\$318.00	\$219.00	\$402.00	\$186.00	\$354.00	\$345.00	\$342.00	\$243.00	\$363.00	\$255.00	\$201.00	\$354.00	\$3,582.00
Legal Aid 1%	\$23.06	\$17.15	\$27.99	\$13.91	\$28.43	\$25.33	\$27.75	\$17.88	\$25.91	\$21.27	\$15.66	\$27.83	\$272.17
Special Projects	\$4,095.50	\$4,370.50	\$4,610.00	\$3,064.50	\$5,209.50	\$4,719.50	\$4,009.00	\$3,893.50	\$4,719.00	\$4,381.50	\$3,774.50	\$4,573.00	\$51,420.00
Dispute Resolution	\$584.00	\$576.00	\$644.00	\$432.00	\$692.00	\$660.00	\$528.00	\$520.00	\$672.00	\$568.00	\$484.00	\$632.00	\$6,992.00
Bailiff Fees 60%	\$42.00	\$192.00	\$75.00	\$138.00	\$30.00	\$168.00	\$180.00	\$120.00	\$54.00	\$180.00	\$120.00	\$54.00	\$1,353.00
Return Check Fee	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
State Legal Aid	\$2,282.94	\$1,697.85	\$2,771.01	\$1,377.09	\$2,814.57	\$2,507.67	\$2,747.25	\$1,770.12	\$2,565.09	\$2,105.73	\$1,550.34	\$2,755.17	\$26,944.83
Personal Service	\$0.00	\$37.50	\$0.00	\$27.48	0.00	66.12	0.00	0.00	0.00	43.96	0.00	0.00	\$175.06
Tusc Co Bailiff Fees 40%	\$28.00	\$128.00	\$50.00	\$92.00	20.00	112.00	120.00	80.00	36.00	120.00	80.00	36.00	\$902.00
Government Receipts	\$12,852.00	\$12,515.25	\$15,341.00	\$9,110.98	\$16,124.00	\$15,523.37	\$13,790.00	\$11,922.00	\$15,044.00	\$13,651.71	\$11,433.00	\$14,559.50	\$161,866.81
Bonds Received	\$97.00	\$35.00	\$25.00	\$608.00	\$134.00	\$430.00	\$341.65	\$136.00	\$477.00	\$1,789.00	(\$211.00)	\$505.00	\$4,366.65
Bonds Disbursed	\$190.00	\$5.00	\$147.00	\$106.00	\$485.00	\$378.00	\$828.65	\$155.00	\$502.00	\$250.00	\$863.00	\$1,542.80	\$5,452.45
Total Received less Disb	(\$93.00)	\$30.00	(\$122.00)	\$502.00	(\$351.00)	\$52.00	(\$487.00)	(\$19.00)	(\$25.00)	\$1,539.00	(\$1,074.00)	(\$1,037.80)	(\$1,085.80)
Garns	\$190.00	\$5.00	\$147.00	\$106.00	\$469.00	\$378.00	\$769.65	\$155.00	\$583.00	\$190.00	\$849.00	\$1,426.80	\$5,268.45
Judgments	\$27,156.13	\$35,892.07	\$30,790.03	\$30,089.26	\$17,813.05	\$32,009.61	\$51,589.82	\$27,952.27	\$36,540.33	\$23,757.66	\$17,265.52	\$15,231.01	\$346,086.76

Civil/Small Claims Division
 New Philadelphia Municipal Court
 2014 Receipts and Disbursements

Receipts

Court Costs	51,847.75
Computer Improvements	18,378.00
Legal Research	3,582.00
Legal Aid 1%	272.17
Special Projects	51,420.00
State Legal Aid	26,944.83
Dispute Resolution	6,992.00
Bailiff Fees	2,255.00
Personal Service	175.06
Judgments	346,086.76

Total

\$507,953.57

Disbursements

New Philadelphia - Court Costs

\$51,847.75

Special Projects	\$51,420.00
Computer Improvements	\$18,378.00
Legal Research	\$3,582.00
Legal Aid 1%	\$272.17
Dispute Resolution	\$6,992.00
Bailiff Fees 60%	\$1,353.00
Personal Service	\$175.06

Tuscarawas County

Bailiff Fees 40%	\$902.00
------------------	----------

State of Ohio

Legal Aid	\$26,944.83
-----------	-------------

SUB TOTAL

\$161,866.81

Judgments

\$346,086.76

TOTAL

\$507,953.57

Security For Costs (Bonds)

Received	\$4,366.65
Paid Out	\$5,452.45
